Big-Oh Notation 2014 IOI Camp 1

Robert Spencer

December 11, 2013

How long will the following code take to run?

How long will the following code take to run?

```
p = 0
for i = 1 to 10**6 do
   for j = 1 to i do
      for k = 1 to j do
      p += k
print p
```

How long will the following code take to run?

```
p = 0
for i = 1 to 10**6 do
   for j = 1 to i do
      for k = 1 to j do
        p += k
print p
```

A minute? An hour? How about 31 millenia?

- Obviously, in competitions we are interested in how quickly a solution runs.
  - 1 second
  - 2 seconds

- Obviously, in competitions we are interested in how quickly a solution runs.
  - 1 second
  - 2 seconds
- Different languages differ by small amounts. On average, can do the same number of operations a second.

- Obviously, in competitions we are interested in how quickly a solution runs.
  - 1 second
  - 2 seconds
- Different languages differ by small amounts. On average, can do the same number of operations a second.
- Good rule of thumb: If you are doing more than 1 000 000 things a second, you have a problem.

• Ignore constants:

$$O(3n^2) = O(n^2)$$

Ignore constants:

$$O(3n^2)=O(n^2)$$

• This means that all logarithms are whatever base you want because they differ by a constant. E.g. binary searches (complexity  $O(\log_2 n)$ ) are the same order as ternary searches (complexity  $O(\log_3 n)$ ).

Ignore constants:

$$O(3n^2)=O(n^2)$$

- This means that all logarithms are whatever base you want because they differ by a constant. E.g. binary searches (complexity  $O(\log_2 n)$ ) are the same order as ternary searches (complexity  $O(\log_3 n)$ ).
- Take the biggest term:

$$O(n^2 + n \log n) = O(n^2)$$

• Ignore constants:

$$O(3n^2)=O(n^2)$$

- This means that all logarithms are whatever base you want because they differ by a constant. E.g. binary searches (complexity  $O(\log_2 n)$ ) are the same order as ternary searches (complexity  $O(\log_3 n)$ ).
- Take the biggest term:

$$O(n^2 + n \log n) = O(n^2)$$

• Worst case bound: linear search is O(n) even though it may only take one step.

Some operations take "constant time": they are independant on the size of any parameter.

Some operations take "constant time": they are independant on the size of any parameter. Example: accessing values from an array

```
array[i] += array[3]
```

Some operations take "constant time": they are independant on the size of any parameter.

Example: accessing values from an array

array[i] += array[3]

Some problems can even be solved in constant time!

- Problems with formulae (eg "Find the number of numbers less than *n* with their third bit set to 1 in binary expansion").
- Problems that can be hard coded (eg "Find the *n*th prime where  $1 \le n \le 1\ 000\ 000$ ").

From this we can work out various "classes" of Big-Oh values, and reasonable values of n.

Order	Reasonable value for $N$
O(N)	1 000 000
$O(N^2)$	1 000
$O(N^3)$	100
$O(N \log N)$	50 000

```
for i = 1 to n do
  for j = 1 to i do
    if a[j] > a[j+1] then
        swap(a[j], a[j+1])
```

```
for i = 1 to n do
   for j = 1 to i do
        if a[j] > a[j+1] then
            swap(a[j], a[j+1])
Answer: O(n<sup>2</sup>)
```

```
begin = 1
end = 2
count = 0
while end < n:
    if a[begin] == a[end] then
        count++
    if a[begin] > a[end] then
        end++
else
        begin++
```

```
begin = 1
end = 2
count = 0
while end < n:
  if a[begin] == a[end] then
    count++
  if a[begin] > a[end] then
    end++
  else
    begin++
Answer: O(n)
```

The evaluator has to run in time. Thus we can see what Big-Oh class the evaluator uses from the constraints. This gives us hints on the problem solution.

The evaluator has to run in time. Thus we can see what Big-Oh class the evaluator uses from the constraints. This gives us hints on the problem solution.

If we are given 1 < n < 50 000, we can reasonably assume a solution with O(n log n), e.g. sorting</li>

The evaluator has to run in time. Thus we can see what Big-Oh class the evaluator uses from the constraints. This gives us hints on the problem solution.

- If we are given 1 < n < 50 000, we can reasonably assume a solution with O(n log n), e.g. sorting</li>
- If all constraints are small, e.g. 1 < n, m < 20, then a very inefficient solution is possible (think  $O(n^2m^3)$ ).

```
hi = n
lo = 0
while guess((hi+lo)/2) is false
if (hi+lo)/2 too high
hi = (hi+lo)/2 - 1
else
lo = (hi+lo)/2 + 1
```

```
hi = n
lo = 0
while guess((hi+lo)/2) is false
if (hi+lo)/2 too high
hi = (hi+lo)/2 - 1
else
lo = (hi+lo)/2 + 1
```

```
Answer: O(\log n)
```

```
def recurse (left, right)
  for i = left to right do
        a[i]++
  middle = (left+right)/2
    if left < middle then
        recurse(left, middle)</pre>
```

```
def recurse (left, right)
  for i = left to right do
        a[i]++
  middle = (left+right)/2
    if left < middle then
        recurse(left, middle)</pre>
```

Answer: O(n)

```
def recurse (left, right)
  for i = left to right do
    a[i]++
  middle = (left+right)/2
    if left < middle then
       recurse(left, middle)
    if middle + 1 < right then
       recurse(middle + 1, right);</pre>
```

```
def recurse (left, right)
  for i = left to right do
    a[i]++
  middle = (left+right)/2
  if left < middle then
    recurse(left, middle)
  if middle + 1 < right then
    recurse(middle + 1, right);</pre>
```

```
Answer: O(n \log n)
```

Here are the complexities of some well known algorithms:

Algorithm	Complexity
Sorting (in general)	$O(n \log n)$
Binary Search	$O(\log n)$
DFS (visit once only)	O(V+E)
BFS (visit once only)	O(V+E)
Basic Dijkstra's	$O((E+V)\log V)$
Kruskal's and basic Prim's	$O(E \log E)$
Naive substring finding	O(nk)
Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring finding	O(n+k)
Rabin-Karp substring finding	O(n+k)